

filed on October 9, 1997. Therefore, Peercy is only prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). Furthermore, the present application and Peercy are both assigned to International Business Machines, Inc.

The present application is being filed as a CPA so as to remove the Cuomo and Peercy references as prior art which may be utilized in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In pertinent part, Section 4807 of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999, which was enacted November 29, 1999, amended Section 103(c) to recite:

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f) and (g) of Section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. 35 U.S.C. § 103(c).

Furthermore, Section 4807 states that the amendment "shall apply to any application for patent filed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act." S 1948 IS Section 4807. Accordingly, as Cuomo, Peercy, and the present application are commonly owned, Cuomo and Peercy cannot be relied on as prior art in an obviousness rejection of this CPA filed after November 29, 1999. Applicants, therefore, request withdrawal of the present rejections based on Cuomo, Peercy.


While Applicants do not concur in the conclusions of the Action regarding the teachings of Wodarz, the Action does concede that Wodarz fails to disclose elements of various independent and dependent claims. Specifically, the Action states that Wodarz fails to disclose the following elements of independent Claims 1, 9, 20, 28, 39, and 47, and dependent Claims 14, 33, and 52: "storing a record of the user request within a web server log" and "appending the stored record of the user request with the unique identifier associated with the content object included within the generated web page." (Action, pages 3, 6, 7, 8). In light of the filing of the present CPA, the references Cuomo and Peercy are hereby removed as prior art which may be utilized in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103. As such, the rejections of independent Claims 1, 9, 20, 28, 39, and 47 and all claims depending therefrom under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are overcome. In addition, the

In re: Nguyen, et al.
Serial No.: 09/207,945
Filed: December 9, 1998
Page 3

rejections of dependent Claims 14, 33, and 52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are overcome.

Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,




Needham J. Boddie, II
Registration No. 40,519

USPTO Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec
Post Office Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone (919) 854-1400
Facsimile (919) 854-1401

Doc. No. 211913

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: BOX CPA, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on September 12, 2001.


Michele P. McMahan
September 12, 2001